

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pat int and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/530,807 07/31/00 LOUIS Ы Q - 59123**EXAMINER** HM12/0625 SUGHRUE MION ZINN WRIGHT,S MACPEAK & SEAS ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20037-3202 1626 DATE MAILED: 06/25/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| | | | | Alidi | - N | Applicant(a) | | |
|--|---|--|----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------|--|
| | | | | Applicati 09/530,80 | | Applicant(s) LOUIS ET AL. | | |
| | Offic A | Action Summary | | Examiner | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Art Unit | | |
| | | | | Sonya Wi | ight | 1626 | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | <u> </u> | | dress | |
| Period f | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 🔲 | Responsiv | e to communication(s) f | iled on | <u>.</u> | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action | is FINAL. | 2b) Thi | is action is | non-final. | | | |
| 3) | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claim | s | | | • | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6) 🗌 | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claims 1-44 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Application | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S | s.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. ≸ 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certif | ied copies of the priority | y documents | s have beer | received. | | | |
| | 2. Certif | ied copies of the priority | y documents | s have beer | received in Applicati | on No | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | | | | |
| 17/ | ACKIOMICAS | ement is made of a cla | iii ioi uoiile | one priority | unuei oo o.o.o. y 11 | ∪ (6). | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | | | | | |
| 15) | ce of Reference | es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) | | | · — | ry (PTO-413) Paper Patent Application (| | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-44 are pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-44 are drawn to more than one inventive concept (as defined by PCT Rule 13), and accordingly, a restriction is required according to the provision of PCT Rule 13.2.

PCT Rule 13.2 states that the international application shall related to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (requirement of unity of invention).

PCT Rule 13.2 states that unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

Annex B, Part 1(b), provides that "special technical features" mean those technical features which, as a whole, define a contribution over the prior art.

Annex B, Part 1 (e), provides combinations of different categories of claims and states:

- "The method for determining unity of invention under Rule 13 shall be construed as permitting, in particular, the inclusion of any one of the following combinations of claims of different categories in the same international application:
- (i) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for a use of the said product, or
- (ii) in addition to an independent claim for a given process, an independent claim for an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process, or
- (iii) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process,..."

Art Unit: 1626

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, drawn to an Ox receptor classified in classes 544 and 548, and various subclasses.

Group II, claim(s) 2, drawn to an Ox receptor classified in classes 544 and 548 and various subclasses.

Group III, claim(s) 3 and 4, drawn to an Ox receptor classified in classes 544 and 548 and various subclasses.

Group IV, claim(s) 5 and 6, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid molecule classified in Class 536 subclass 24.1+.

Group V, claim(s) 7 and 8, drawn to a method classified in class 536 subclass 24.1+.

Group VI, claim(s) 9, drawn to a method classified in classes 544 and 548 and various subclasses.

Group VII, claim(s) 10, drawn to a method classified in classes 544 and 548 and various subclasses.

Group VIII, claim(s) 11-33 and 36, drawn to a compound and a composition classified in classes 544 and 548 and various subclasses.

Group IX, claim(s) 34 and 35, drawn to a compound and a method classified in classes 544 and 548 and various subclasses.

Group X, claim(s) 37-39, drawn to methods classified in classes 544 and 548 and

Art Unit: 1626

various subclasses.

Group XI, claim(s) 40-44, drawn to methods classified in classes 544 and 548 and various subclasses.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features that define a contribution over the prior art. The compounds claimed contain a ring comprising carbon, nitrogen, oxygen or sulfur, and a divalent group, which does not define a contribution over the art. The substituents on the ring vary extensively and when taken as a whole result in vastly different compounds. Accordingly, the unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Richard C. Turner on June 18, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1626

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sonya Wright, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (703) 308-4537. The Unofficial fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7921. The Official fax phone numbers for this Group are (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1600, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [joseph.mckane@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees will not communicate with applicant via Internet e-mail where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive data could be identified unless there is of record an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements under 35 U.S.C. 122 by

Art Unit: 1626

the applicant. See the Interim Internet Usage Policy published by the Patent and Trademark Office Official Gazette on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 1600

Sonya Wright

June 18, 2001